

# A SUZUKI-TYPE FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR NONLINEAR CONTRACTIONS

MORTAZA ABTAHI

**ABSTRACT.** We introduce the notion of admissible functions and show that the family of L-functions introduced by Lim in [Nonlinear Anal. 46(2001), 113–120] and the family of test functions introduced by Geraghty in [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 40(1973), 604–608] are admissible. Then we prove that if  $\phi$  is an admissible function,  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space, and  $T$  is a mapping on  $X$  such that, for  $\alpha(s) = \phi(s)/s$ , the condition  $(1 + \alpha(d(x, Tx)))^{-1}d(x, Tx) < d(x, y)$  implies  $d(Tx, Ty) < \phi(d(x, y))$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point. We also show that our fixed point theorem characterizes the metric completeness of  $X$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of positive integers, by  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  the set of nonnegative integers, and by  $\mathbb{R}^+$  the set of nonnegative real numbers. Given a set  $X$  and a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$ , the  $n$ th iterate of  $T$  is denoted by  $T^n$  so that  $T^2x = T(Tx)$ ,  $T^3x = T(T^2x)$  and so on. A point  $x \in X$  is called a *fixed point* of  $T$  if  $Tx = x$ .

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called a *contraction* if there is  $r \in [0, 1)$  such that  $d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . The following famous theorem is referred to as the Banach contraction principle.

**Theorem 1.1** (Banach [2]). *If  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space, then every contraction  $T$  on  $X$  has a unique fixed point.*

The Banach fixed point theorem is very simple and powerful. It became a classical tool in nonlinear analysis with many generalizations; see [3, 4, 5, 8, 13, 15, 16, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27]. For instance, the following result due to Boyd and Wong [3] is a great generalization of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.2** (Boyd and Wong [3]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space, and let  $T$  be a mapping on  $X$ . Assume there exists a function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  which is upper semi-continuous from the right,  $\phi(s) < s$  for  $s > 0$ , and*

$$(1.1) \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq \phi(d(x, y)).$$

*Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

Another interesting generalization of Banach contraction principle was given by Meir and Keeler [15] as follows.

---

*Date:* November 29, 2012.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54H25; Secondary 54E50.

*Key words and phrases.* Banach contraction principle, Contractive mappings, Fixed points, Metric completeness.

**Theorem 1.3** (Meir and Keeler [15]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T$  be a Meir-Keeler contraction on  $X$ , i.e., for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$(1.2) \quad \forall x, y \in X \quad (\varepsilon \leq d(x, y) < \varepsilon + \delta \implies d(Tx, Ty) < \varepsilon).$$

*Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

Lim [14] introduced the notion of L-functions and proved a characterization of Meir-Keeler contractions that shows how much more general is Meir-Keeler's result than Boyd-Wong's. A function  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called an *L-function* if  $\phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\phi(s) > 0$  for  $s > 0$ , and for every  $s > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\phi(t) \leq s$  for all  $t \in [s, s + \delta]$ .

**Theorem 1.4** (Lim [14], see also [25]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $T$  be a mapping on  $X$ . Then  $T$  is a Meir-Keeler contraction if and only if there exists an L-function  $\phi$  such that*

$$\forall x, y \in X, \quad d(Tx, Ty) < \phi(d(x, y)).$$

There is an example of an incomplete metric space  $X$  on which every contraction has a fixed point, [6]. This means that Theorem 1.1 cannot characterize the metric completeness of  $X$ . Recently, Suzuki in [26] proved the following remarkable generalization of the classical Banach contraction principle that characterizes the metric completeness of  $X$ .

**Theorem 1.5** (Suzuki [26]). *Define a function  $\theta : [0, 1) \rightarrow (1/2, 1]$  by*

$$(1.3) \quad \theta(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2; \\ (1 - r)r^{-2}, & \text{if } (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2 \leq r \leq 1/\sqrt{2}; \\ (1 + r)^{-1}, & \text{if } 1/\sqrt{2} \leq r < 1. \end{cases}$$

*Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Then  $X$  is complete if and only if every mapping  $T$  on  $X$  satisfying the following has a fixed point:*

- *There exists  $r \in [0, 1)$  such that*

$$(1.4) \quad \forall x, y \in X \quad (\theta(r)d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y) \implies d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y)).$$

The above Suzuki's generalized version of Theorem 1.1 initiated a lot of work in this direction and led to some important contribution in metric fixed point theory. Several authors obtained variations and refinements of Suzuki's result; see [9, 11, 12, 17, 19, 20].

A mapping  $T$  on a metric space  $X$  is called *contractive* if  $d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ . Edelstein in [7] proved that, on compact spaces, every contractive mapping possesses a unique fixed point theorem. Then in [27] Suzuki generalized Edelstein's result as follows.

**Theorem 1.6** (Suzuki [27]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space and let  $T$  be a mapping on  $X$ . Assume that*

$$(1.5) \quad \forall x, y \in X \quad \left( \frac{1}{2}d(x, Tx) < d(x, y) \implies d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y) \right).$$

*Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

It is interesting to note that, although the above Suzuki's theorem generalizes Edelstein's theorem in [7], these two theorems are not of the same type [27].

Recently, the author proved the following fixed point theorem for contractive mapping which is a Suzuki-type generalization of [10, Theorem 1.1] and characterizes metric completeness.

**Theorem 1.7** (Abtahi [1]). *A metric space  $(X, d)$  is complete if and only if every mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  satisfying the following two conditions has a fixed point;*

- (i)  $(1/2)d(x, Tx) < d(x, y)$  implies  $d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .
- (ii) There exists a point  $x \in X$  such that, for any two subsequences  $\{x_{p_n}\}$  and  $\{x_{q_n}\}$  of the iterations  $x_n = T^n x$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $d(x_{p_n}, Tx_{p_n}) \leq d(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n})$  for all  $n$ , and  $\Delta_n \rightarrow 1$ , then  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ , where

$$\delta_n = d(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}), \quad \Delta_n = d(Tx_{p_n}, Tx_{q_n})/\delta_n.$$

*Remark 1.8.* In part (i) of the above theorem,  $1/2$  is the best constant.

## 2. EXISTENCE OF FIXED POINTS FOR NONLINEAR CONTRACTIONS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a function. Given a metric space  $(X, d)$ , a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called a *generalized  $\phi$ -contraction* if

$$(2.1) \quad \forall x, y \in X \left( x \neq y, d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y) \implies d(Tx, Ty) < \phi(d(x, y)) \right).$$

We call  $\phi$  *admissible* if, for every metric space  $X$ , for every generalized  $\phi$ -contraction  $T$  on  $X$ , and for every choice of initial point  $x \in X$ , the iterations  $x_n = T^n x$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , form a Cauchy sequence.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Every L-function is admissible.*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be an L-function and let  $T$  be a generalized  $\phi$ -contraction on a metric space  $X$ . Fix  $x \in X$  and let  $x_n = T^n x$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $d(x_m, x_{m+1}) = 0$ , for some  $m$ , then  $x_n = x_m$  for  $n \geq m$  and there is nothing to prove. Assume that  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) > 0$  for all  $n$ . Since  $d(x_n, Tx_n) \leq d(x_n, Tx_n)$  and  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ , condition (2.1) implies that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) < \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}).$$

This shows that the sequence  $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$  is strictly decreasing and thus it converges to some point  $s \geq 0$ . If  $s > 0$ , since  $\phi$  is an L-function, there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\phi(t) \leq s$  for  $s \leq t \leq s + \delta$ . Take  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough so that  $s \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq s + \delta$ . Then

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) < \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq s,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ .

Next, we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. To this end we adopt the same method used by Suzuki in [25]. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $s = \varepsilon/2$ . Since  $\phi$  is an L-function, there exists  $\delta \in (0, s)$  such that  $\phi(t) \leq s$  for  $s \leq t \leq s + \delta$ . Since  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ , there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \delta$  for  $n \geq N$ . We show that

$$(2.2) \quad d(x_n, x_{n+m}) < \delta + s \leq \varepsilon, \quad (n \geq N, m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For every  $n \geq N$ , we prove (2.2) by induction on  $m$ . It is obvious that (2.2) holds for  $m = 1$ . Assume that (2.2) holds for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\phi(d(x_n, x_{n+m})) \leq$

$s$ . Now, if  $d(x_n, Tx_n) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+m})$  then (2.1) shows that  $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+m+1}) < \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+m}))$  and thus

$$d(x_n, x_{n+m+1}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+m+1}) < \delta + s \leq \varepsilon.$$

If  $d(x_n, x_{n+m}) < d(x_n, Tx_n)$  then  $d(x_n, x_{n+m}) < \delta$  and thus

$$d(x_n, x_{n+m+1}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+m}) + d(x_{n+m}, x_{n+m+1}) < \delta + \delta \leq \delta + s \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore (2.2) is verified and  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.  $\square$

As in [10], we define  $\mathbf{S}$  to be the class of all functions  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that, for any sequence  $\{s_n\}$  of positive numbers, if  $\alpha(s_n) \rightarrow 1$  then  $s_n \rightarrow 0$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $\alpha \in \mathbf{S}$ , the function  $\phi(s) = \alpha(s)s$  is admissible.*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathbf{S}$  and define  $\phi(s) = \alpha(s)s$ . Let  $T$  be a generalized  $\phi$ -contraction on a metric space  $X$ , let  $x \in X$  and let  $x_n = T^n x$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $s_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we assume that  $s_n > 0$  for all  $n$ . Then  $s_{n+1} < \alpha(s_n)s_n$  and thus  $s_n \rightarrow s$  for some point  $s \geq 0$ . If  $s > 0$  then  $s_{n+1}/s_n \rightarrow 1$  and thus  $\alpha(s_n) \rightarrow 1$ . Since  $\alpha \in \mathbf{S}$ , we must have  $s = 0$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $s = 0$  and  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ .

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose  $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x_m, x_{m+1}) < 1/n$  for  $m \geq k_n$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence, there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and sequences  $\{p_n\}$  and  $\{q_n\}$  of positive integers such that  $q_n > p_n \geq k_n$  and  $d(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}) \geq \varepsilon$ . We also assume that  $q_n$  is the least such integer so that  $d(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n-1}) < \varepsilon$ . Therefore,

$$\varepsilon \leq d(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}) \leq d(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n-1}) + d(x_{q_n-1}, x_{q_n}) < \varepsilon + 1/n.$$

This shows that  $s_n \rightarrow \varepsilon$ . Since we have, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$d(x_{p_n}, Tx_{p_n}) \leq d(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}) < d(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}),$$

condition (2.1) shows that  $d(x_{p_n+1}, x_{q_n+1}) < \alpha(s_n)s_n$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_n = d(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}) &\leq d(x_{p_n}, x_{p_n+1}) + d(x_{p_n+1}, x_{q_n+1}) + d(x_{q_n+1}, x_{q_n}) \\ &< 2/n + \alpha(s_n)s_n. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing the above inequality by  $s_n$ , since  $\alpha(s_n) \leq 1$ , we get  $\alpha(s_n) \rightarrow 1$  and thus  $s_n \rightarrow 0$  which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.  $\square$

**Definition 2.4.** A function  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is said to be of class  $\Psi$ , written  $\alpha \in \Psi$ , if the function  $\phi(s) = \alpha(s)s$  is admissible and, moreover, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad 0 < t < \delta, 0 < s < \alpha(t)t \implies \alpha(t) \leq \alpha(s).$$

Given two points  $x$  and  $y$  in a metric space  $(X, d)$ , by  $\alpha(x, y)$  we always mean  $\alpha(d(x, y))$ .

*Example.* Every decreasing function  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is of class  $\Psi$ . For example, if  $\alpha(s) = (1 + s)^{-1}$ , then  $\alpha \in \Psi$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T$  be a mapping on  $X$ . Assume that there is a function  $\alpha \in \Psi$  such that*

$$(2.4) \quad (1 + \alpha(x, Tx))^{-1} d(x, Tx) < d(x, y) \implies d(Tx, Ty) < \alpha(x, y)d(x, y),$$

*holds for every  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

*Proof.* First, let us prove the uniqueness part of the theorem. If  $z \in X$  is a fixed point of  $T$  and  $y \neq z$  then

$$(1 + \alpha(z, Tz))^{-1}d(z, Tz) < d(z, y),$$

and thus by (2.4) we have  $d(Tz, Ty) < d(z, y)$ . Since  $Tz = z$ , we must have  $Ty \neq y$ , i.e.,  $y$  is not a fixed point of  $T$ .

Now, we prove the existence of the fixed point. Take two points  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ . If  $d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y)$  then  $(1 + \alpha(x, Tx))^{-1}d(x, Tx) < d(x, y)$ , because  $\alpha(x, Tx) > 0$  and  $d(x, y) > 0$ . Hence  $T$  satisfies condition (2.1) with  $\phi(s) = \alpha(s)s$ . Fix  $x \in X$  and define  $x_n = T^n x$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since the function  $\phi(s) = \alpha(s)s$  is admissible, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy. Since  $X$  is complete, there is  $z \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow z$ . Next, we show that  $Tz = z$ .

If  $x_m = Tx_m$  for some  $m$ , the  $x_n = z$  for  $n \geq m$  and  $Tz = z$ . We assume that  $x_n \neq Tx_n$  for all  $n$ . Since  $\alpha \in \Psi$ , condition (2.3) holds for some  $\delta > 0$ . Take a positive number  $N$  such that  $d(x_n, Tx_n) < \delta$  for  $n \geq N$ . Then

$$0 < d(Tx_n, T^2x_n) < \alpha(x_n, Tx_n)d(x_n, Tx_n), \quad (n \geq N),$$

and condition (2.3) shows that  $\alpha(x_n, Tx_n) \leq \alpha(Tx_n, T^2x_n)$ , for  $n \geq N$ , so that

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{1 + \alpha(x_n, Tx_n)} + \frac{\alpha(x_n, Tx_n)}{1 + \alpha(Tx_n, T^2x_n)} \leq 1.$$

We claim that

$$(2.6) \quad \forall n \geq N, \quad \begin{cases} (1 + \alpha(x_n, Tx_n))^{-1}d(x_n, Tx_n) < d(x_n, z), \\ \text{or} \\ (1 + \alpha(Tx_n, T^2x_n))^{-1}d(Tx_n, T^2x_n) < d(x_{n+1}, z). \end{cases}$$

If (2.6) fails to hold, then, for some  $n \geq N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, z) &\leq (1 + \alpha(x_n, Tx_n))^{-1}d(x_n, Tx_n), \\ d(x_{n+1}, z) &\leq (1 + \alpha(Tx_n, T^2x_n))^{-1}d(Tx_n, T^2x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, Tx_n) &\leq d(x_n, z) + d(Tx_n, z) \\ &\leq (1 + \alpha(x_n, Tx_n))^{-1}d(x_n, Tx_n) + (1 + \alpha(Tx_n, T^2x_n))^{-1}d(Tx_n, T^2x_n) \\ &< [(1 + \alpha(x_n, Tx_n))^{-1} + (1 + \alpha(Tx_n, T^2x_n))^{-1}\alpha(x_n, Tx_n)]d(x_n, Tx_n) \\ &\leq d(x_n, Tx_n). \end{aligned}$$

This is absurd and thus (2.6) must hold. Now condition (2.4) together with (2.6) imply that

$$(2.7) \quad \forall n \geq N, \quad d(x_{n+1}, Tz) < \phi(d(x_n, z)) \text{ or } d(x_{n+2}, Tz) < \phi(d(x_{n+1}, z)).$$

Since  $x_n \rightarrow z$  and  $\phi(s) \leq s$ , condition (2.7) implies the existence of a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  that converges to  $Tz$ . This shows that  $Tz = z$ .  $\square$

The following theorem states that, for a certain family of functions  $\alpha \in \Psi$ , the coefficient  $1/(1 + \alpha)$  in Theorem 2.5 is the best.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let the function  $\alpha \in \Psi$  satisfy the following condition;*

$$(2.8) \quad \alpha_0 = \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0+} \alpha(s) > 1/\sqrt{2}.$$

*Then, for every constant  $\eta$  with  $\eta > 1/(1 + \alpha_0)$ , there exist a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  and a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $T$  does not have a fixed point and*

$$\forall x, y \in X, \quad (\eta d(x, Tx) < d(x, y) \implies d(Tx, Ty) < \alpha(x, y)d(x, y)).$$

*Proof.* Take a number  $r \in (1/\sqrt{2}, \alpha_0)$  such that  $(1 + r)^{-1} < \eta$ . The proof of Theorem 3 in [26] shows that there exist a closed and bounded subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $T$  does not have a fixed point and

$$(2.9) \quad \forall x, y \in X \quad \left( (1 + r)^{-1} |x - Tx| < |x - y| \implies |Tx - Ty| \leq r|x - y| \right).$$

Since  $r < \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0+} \alpha(s)$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $r < \alpha(s)$  for  $s \in (0, \delta)$ . Since  $X$  is bounded, there is a constant  $M$  such that  $|x - y| < M\delta$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . Now, define a metric  $d$  on  $X$  by

$$d(x, y) = \frac{1}{M} |x - y|, \quad (x, y \in X).$$

For  $x, y \in X$ , if  $\eta d(x, Tx) < d(x, y)$  then  $(1 + r)^{-1} d(x, Tx) < d(x, y)$ . Now, condition (2.9) and the fact that  $d(x, y) < \delta$  shows that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y) < \alpha(d(x, y))d(x, y).$$

□

*Example.* For the function  $\alpha(s) = (1 + s)^{-1}$ , we have  $\alpha_0 = 1$ . Hence  $\alpha$  satisfies the condition in Theorem 2.6.

### 3. METRIC COMPLETION

In this section, we discuss the metric completeness. Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. We say that two metrics  $d$  and  $\rho$  on  $X$  are equivalent if they generate the same topology and the same Cauchy sequences. Given a metric  $\rho$  on  $X$ , we denote the family of all metrics  $d$  on  $X$  equivalent to  $\rho$  by  $\mathcal{E}_\rho$ . It is obvious that  $(X, \rho)$  is complete if and only if  $(X, d)$ , for some  $d \in \mathcal{E}_\rho$ , is complete if and only if  $(X, d)$ , for all  $d \in \mathcal{E}_\rho$ , is complete. For a function  $\alpha \in \Psi$ , we define

$$\alpha_0 = \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0+} \alpha(s),$$

and we denote by  $\Psi^+$  the family of those functions  $\alpha \in \Psi$  with  $\alpha_0 > 0$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *For a metric space  $(X, \rho)$  the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The space  $(X, \rho)$  is complete.*
- (2) *For every  $\alpha \in \Psi$  and  $d \in \mathcal{E}_\rho$ , every mapping  $T$  satisfying (2.4) has a fixed point.*
- (3) *For some  $\alpha \in \Psi^+$  and  $\eta \in (0, 1/2]$ , and for all  $d \in \mathcal{E}_\rho$ , every mapping  $T$  satisfying the following condition has a fixed point;*

$$(3.1) \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad (\eta d(x, Tx) < d(x, y) \implies d(Tx, Ty) < \alpha(x, y)d(x, y)).$$

*Proof.* The implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) follows from Theorem 2.5. The implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is clear because, for  $\eta \leq 1/2$ , condition (3.1) implies condition (2.4).

To prove (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), towards a contradiction, assume that the metric space  $(X, \rho)$  is not complete. Take a number  $r \in (0, \alpha_0)$  and let  $\delta$  be a positive number such that  $r < \alpha(s)$  for all  $s \in (0, \delta)$ . Define a metric  $d$  on  $X$  as follows:

$$d(x, y) = \delta \frac{\rho(x, y)}{1 + \rho(x, y)}, \quad (x, y \in X).$$

Then  $d \in \mathcal{E}_\rho$  and thus  $(X, d)$  is not complete. The proof of Theorem 4 in [26] shows that there exists a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  with no fixed point such that

$$\forall x, y \in X, \quad (\eta d(x, Tx) < d(x, y) \implies d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y)).$$

Since  $d(x, y) < \delta$ , we have  $rd(x, y) < \alpha(x, y)d(x, y)$  and thus  $T$  satisfies (3.1). This is a contradiction.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abtahi, *A fixed point theorem for contractive mappings that characterizes metric completeness*, Submitted to J. Math. Anal. Appl. [arXiv:1207.6207v1](#) [math.GN]
- [2] S. Banach, *Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales*, Fund. Math., **3** (1922), 133–181.
- [3] D. W. Boyd and J. S. Wong, *On nonlinear contractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 458–469.
- [4] J. Caristi, *Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **215** (1976), 241–251.
- [5] Lj. B. Ćirić, *A generalization of Banach's contraction principle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **45** (1974), 267–273.
- [6] E. H. Connell, *Properties of fixed point spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **10** (1959), 974–979.
- [7] M. Edelstein, *On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings*, J. London Math. Soc. **37** (1962), 74–79.
- [8] I. Ekeland, *On the variational principle*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **47** (1974), 324–353.
- [9] Y. Enjouji, M. Nakanishi, T. Suzuki, *A generalization of Kannan's fixed point theorem*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2009) Article ID 192872, 10 pages.
- [10] M.A. Geraghty, *On contractive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **40** (1973), 604–608.
- [11] M. Kikkawa, T. Suzuki, *Some similarity between contractions and Kannan mappings*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2008) Article ID 649749, 8 pages.
- [12] M. Kikkawa, T. Suzuki, *Three fixed point theorems for generalized contractions with constants in complete metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **69** (2008) 2942–2949.
- [13] W. A. Kirk, *Fixed points of asymptotic contractions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **277** (2003), 645–650.
- [14] T.C. Lim, *On characterizations of Meir-Keeler contractive maps*, Nonlinear Anal. **46** (2001), 113–120.
- [15] A. Meir and E. Keeler, *A theorem on contraction mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **28** (1969), 326–329.
- [16] S. B. Nadler, Jr., *Multi-valued contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math., **30** (1969), 475–488.
- [17] O. Popescu, *Two fixed point theorems for generalized contractions with constants in complete metric spaces*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **7** (2009) 529–538.
- [18] E. Rakotch, *A note on contractive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **13** (1962), 459–465.
- [19] S.L. Singh, S.N. Mishra, *Remarks on recent fixed point theorems*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2010) Article ID 452905, 18 pages.
- [20] S.L. Singh, H.K. Pathak, S.N. Mishra, *On a Suzuki type general fixed point theorem with applications*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2010) Article ID 234717, 15 pages.
- [21] P. V. Subrahmanyam, *Remarks on some fixed point theorems related to Banach's contraction principle*, J. Math. Phys. Sci., **8** (1974), 445–457.
- [22] T. Suzuki, *Generalized distance and existence theorems in complete metric spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **253** (2001), 440–458.

- [23] T. Suzuki, *Several fixed point theorems concerning  $\tau$ -distance*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2004** (2004), 195–209.
- [24] T. Suzuki, *Contractive mappings are Kannan mappings, and Kannan mappings are contractive mappings in some sense*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat., **45** (2005), 45–58.
- [25] T. Suzuki, *Fixed-point theorem for asymptotic contractions of Meir-Keeler type in complete metric spaces*. Nonlinear Anal. **64** (2006), 971–978.
- [26] T. Suzuki, *A generalized banach contraction principle that characterizes metric completeness*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 1861–1869
- [27] T. Suzuki, *A new type of fixed point theorem in metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **71** (2009) 5313–5317.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, DAMGHAN UNIVERSITY, DAMGHAN,, P.O.BOX  
36715-364, IRAN  
*E-mail address:* `abtahi@du.ac.ir`